

**Georgia Department of Natural Resources
Environmental Protection Division
Stimulus Action Plan**

WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLANNING

Name of Project: Developing Analytical Modeling Tools for Performing Resource Assessments of Assimilative Capacity in Support of the Georgia Comprehensive Statewide Water Management Plan

Lead Organizations: U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Georgia Environmental Protection Division

Cooperating Organizations: Federal, State, and Local Partners in The Georgia Comprehensive Statewide Water Management Planning Process

Background:

Georgia's future relies on the protection and sustainable management of the state's limited water resources. In 2004 the Georgia General Assembly passed the "Comprehensive Statewide Water Management Planning Act" which called for the development of a statewide water management plan. The legislation established a far-reaching vision for water management as follows: "Georgia manages water resources in a sustainable manner to support the state's economy, to protect public health and natural systems, and to enhance the quality of life for all citizens." The legislation assigned the responsibility for developing a draft plan to the Georgia Environmental Protection Division and established a planning oversight committee, the Georgia Water Council, composed of legislators, legislative appointees, and state agency heads with water related responsibilities. The legislation called for an initial draft plan by July 1, 2007 and for the Water Council to recommend a final draft plan to the General Assembly the first day of the regular session in 2008.

The Water Council worked with the EPD in developing planning objectives and tools, and in establishing a Statewide Advisory Committee, Technical Advisory Committees and Basin Advisory Committees. The Council reviewed and approved each draft plan and recommended the final draft plan to the General Assembly in January 2008. The General Assembly debated the provisions of the draft plan and approved the plan on February 5, 2008. Governor Perdue signed HR1022, the Statewide Water Plan, on February 6. The approved plan can be found at <http://www.georgiawaterplanning.org/>. The major components of the State Water Plan are as follows:

• Resource Assessments

The Georgia Environmental Protection Division (EPD) will conduct water resource assessments to develop a sound scientific understanding of the condition of water resources, in terms of the quantity of surface water and groundwater available to support current and future instream aquatic life uses and offstream human uses and to assess current water quality conditions and the assimilative capacity of surface waters. This work will meet the provisions of the Clean Water Act for the restoration and protection of (1) water quality, (2) hydrological systems, and (3) aquatic resources and their habitats.

• Forecasting

Forecasts of future population expectations, water demands, wastewater returns, land surface types and distribution and employment characteristics will be developed. Water use will be developed for: 1) domestic/ commercial water use; 2) industrial water use; 3) energy water use, and 4) agricultural water use.

• Regional Water Planning

Regional water planning councils will prepare recommended Water Development and Conservation Plans (WDCPs). These regional plans will promote the sustainable use of Georgia's waters, through the selection of an array of management practices, to support the state's economy, to protect public health and natural systems, and to enhance the quality of life for all citizens. WDCPs will describe the water resources, water users, local governments and education partners in each region. The plans will include forecasts through 2050 of population, and domestic and commercial water use, as well as a comparison of these forecasts with the water resource assessments for each region. Based on these comparisons, the WDCPs will recommend regionally appropriate management practices for water protection that may include green infrastructure, water or energy efficiency improvements or other environmentally innovative activities to encourage quality growth and low-impact development initiatives, green infrastructure planning, land conservation, open space protection programs and innovative practices to manage stormwater.

The plans will identify steps, which will be taken to ensure that the forecasted needs can be met. If "gaps" between available and future (or current) demands are identified, the councils will determine which water and land use management practices should be employed to ensure there is sufficient water and assimilative capacity to meet future needs while protecting public health and natural systems and enhancing the quality of life for all citizens.

EPD will provide the regional water planning councils with technical assistance, such as contractor support, resource assessments, forecasts and guidance. After the regional WDCPs are adopted, EPD permits and Georgia Environmental Facilities Authority (GEFA) grants and loans for water projects will be guided by the each regional plan.

The initial regional Water Development and Conservation Plans are scheduled for completion in 2011. EPD, in cooperation with federal agencies, local governments, and other partners, will continue to monitor water resources to maintain and update information on the status and condition of the state's waters. This information will support future revisions in resource assessments and management practices and provide the basis for updates to the regional WDCPs.

Project Introduction:

Georgia has more than 70,000 miles of streams, 400,000 acres of lakes, 4,500,555 acres of freshwater wetlands, 384,000 acres of tidal wetlands, 854 square miles of estuaries, 100 miles of coastline, and an enormous amount of water in aquifers. Additionally, over the course of an average year Georgia will receive fifty inches of precipitation. These waters are used in a wide variety of ways, and are affected by a number of human activities. Assessing these resources and their condition, as well as determining what factors influence the ability to protect and utilize these resources in a sustainable manner, is vital to effective water quality planning. If Georgia is to develop water resource plans that allow continued sustainable use and enjoyment of the state's water resources, the state must first define the capabilities and current use of these water resources. These resource capabilities must be defined in terms of the quality of each water resource to support additional water withdrawals and to safely assimilate pollutants while protecting public health and natural systems and enhancing the quality of life for all citizens.

This project is a part of the statewide resource assessment related to assessment of current water quality and the analysis of assimilative capacity available in Georgia's waters on a watershed or regional basis. Georgia issued an RFQ for the statewide project in 2008 and a contractor was selected through a competitive process. The overall project was divided into watershed units and work was initiated in FY2009 on several watersheds with work on the remaining watersheds to be initiated in FY2010. The budget for this project in FY2010 was threatened by shortfalls in state revenues due to the current economic downturn experienced in Georgia and across the nation and the world. The ARRA funds have helped to bridge the gap between available funds and needed funds to accomplish this project. These funds will allow the contractor to maintain staffing at the levels necessary to complete the project in the time allotted and will allow Georgia to complete the resource assessment in time for the work to provide the necessary foundation for regional water planning council's development of the water quality protection and assimilative capacity elements of their Water Development and Conservation Plans. This project will provide for the preservation of seven jobs in the private sector. These jobs include 2 Senior Watershed/Lake Modelers, 2 Junior Watershed/Lake Modelers, 1 Senior River Modeler, 1 Junior River Modeler and 1 Engineering Technician (data compilation, data processing, etc.). The fact that the contract for the work is already in place will allow the project to be started and completed expeditiously.

This project will develop the analytical modeling tools for performing water quality assessments of selected watersheds in the Chattahoochee River Basin to allow Georgia to manage point and nonpoint source pollution on a watershed basis to ensure

the physical, chemical and biological integrity of those waters is maintained now and into the future. This requires protecting waters that currently meet water quality standards and restoring waters whose physical, chemical or biological integrity are impaired. The tools along with water use forecasts will allow the regional water planning councils to develop a shared vision for the region's future. If gaps between available assimilative capacity and future demands are identified, the councils will decide which water, landuse and best management practices should be employed to ensure there is sufficient assimilative capacity to meet future needs and what actions can be taken for restoration. The EPD will strongly encourage green infrastructure and other environmentally innovative best management practices to protect water quality and biological integrity of Georgia waters and to conserve assimilative capacity and promote water and energy efficiency. EPD will use the computer models to test the ability of the recommended green infrastructure and innovative practices to close any identified assimilative capacity gaps. The water quality models will also be used in concert with water quantity models (being developed as an additional part of the overall resource assessment process) to address Clean Water Act provisions for anti-degradation and restoration of water quality, hydrological systems and aquatic resources and their habitats.

This project will provide for immediate and long term economic and environmental benefits. The modeling tools will provide the analysis needed for the regional water planning councils to determine the most environmentally sound best management practices to protect and sustain water quality. The modeling tools will be used to determine wastewater treatment levels needed to protect water quality and provide the information to assess current loads and predict future loads based on population forecasts. In some cases it can be anticipated that following the completion of regional water development and conservation plans in 2011 work to construct new or upgraded water pollution and/or install green infrastructure or other best management practices will begin immediately providing economic stimulus as a result of an increase in construction projects. The design and construction of one new water pollution control plant and/or several green infrastructure or other best management practices will provide an economic stimulus that will surpass the funding provided in this grant. Considering this project addresses several high growth areas in the Chattahoochee River watershed, it is likely that a number of water pollution control projects including green infrastructure or other environmentally innovative projects will be initiated shortly after the approval of the water development and conservation plans. Extrapolating this project to the entire state would suggest that a significant number water pollution control projects would be initiated following approval of the water development and conservation plans for the ten new regional water planning regions. In addition, significant economic stimulus could also result from regionally appropriate management practices that may include innovative ways to manage impervious surfaces to increase infiltration of stormwater including enhancement or expansion of existing programs such as post-construction stormwater management, quality growth and low-impact development initiatives, green infrastructure planning, land conservation, open space protection programs and other environmentally innovative practices. This work will provide long-term public benefits by investing in environmental protection and restoration that will provide sustainable water resources to support the state's economy, protect public health and natural systems, and enhance the quality of life for all Georgians.

Project Objectives:

The purpose of this project is to develop the analytical modeling tools for performing resource assessments of the assimilative capacity of selected water bodies in the Chattahoochee River Basin. The Contractor will be required to develop computer modeling tools for watersheds, streams and rivers, and lakes using software specified by the Georgia EPD. The results of this work will be used by the newly formed regional water planning councils in the development of their Water Development and Conservation Plans in support of the Georgia Comprehensive Statewide Water Management Plan. The following sections describe the tasks required to develop the models and tools needed for this Work Plan.

Task 1: Quality Assurance Project Plan

The Contractor will be expected to adhere to a high standard of quality. A Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) shall be developed to ensure that all work meets the needs of Georgia EPD. The QAPP shall address both technical quality and practicable/operational quality. The QAPP needs to be prepared following EPA Guidance as appropriate for this scope of work. The QAPP shall be prepared within 30 days from Notice to Proceed and submitted to EPD for approval.

Task 2: Data Compilation and Management

The modeling tools will require historic data of various types for either model input or model calibration. The data types described in this section are general in nature and will be needed for most model applications. Other model specific data requirements will be described later.

In general, the Contractor shall identify sources, collect available data, and develop digital databases and accompanying geographic information system (GIS) map coverages for the data categories described in this and following tasks. Data should be collected for the time period that includes, at a minimum, the period from 1997 through 2007. All numerical databases will be developed using the Water Resources Database (WRDB) software, which is available from Georgia EPD. A description of the data categories follows.

- ***Water Quality Data: Georgia EPD and U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) have monitored water quality for a variety of water bodies at various locations in the Chattahoochee River Basin.***
- ***Flow Data: The USGS has monitored streamflow at a variety of locations in the Chattahoochee River Basin.***
- **Watershed Assessment Data: Georgia EPD has required some municipalities to perform watershed assessments for the watersheds in**

their jurisdictions. These watershed assessments include initial and long-term water quality monitoring programs.

- Facility NPDES Monitoring Data: Municipal and industrial wastewater treatment facilities with National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits have monitoring data that includes effluent flow and quality. These data are often recorded on a daily basis and summarized monthly. Note that in the case of the Chattahoochee River Basin, it will also be necessary to obtain information from facilities located in Alabama.
- Water Withdrawal Data: Municipal and industrial facilities that operate water withdrawals have data on their withdrawal rates. These data are often recorded on a daily basis and summarized monthly. Note that in the case of the Chattahoochee River Basin, it will be necessary to obtain information from facilities located in Alabama.
- Heat Load Data: Heat load data for power plants and other facilities will have to be compiled. These data will include both flow and temperature discharge data. These data may not be available in NPDES compliance reports, so the Contractor will have to develop an alternative method for estimating heat loads that will be approved by Georgia EPD.
- Meteorological Data: A number of organizations including the National Climatic Data Center (NCDC) and UGA's Georgia Automated Environmental Monitoring Network (GAEMN) have meteorological data at a number of locations within and near the Chattahoochee River Basin. Typical meteorological data parameters include precipitation, air temperature, dew point temperature, barometric pressure, solar radiation, relative humidity, and wind speed. These data are collected in various time intervals including 15-minute, hourly, or daily.

The Contractor, with assistance from Georgia EPD, shall identify the available data for the watersheds, retrieve the data, and develop a database containing these data using WRDB. For the Chattahoochee River Basin, the Contractor shall coordinate with regulatory agencies in the State of Alabama, with Georgia EPD's assistance, to compile similar data from facilities on the Alabama side.

All of the data types described above have a location associated with them that can be used to create GIS coverages. The Contractor will develop and maintain GIS coverages for each data type that includes the location and other descriptive information for the site using GIS software. The software needs to be compatible with ArcGIS developed by Environmental Systems Research Institute (ESRI). The Contractor will work with Georgia EPD to develop the GIS database structure to be used for all data types.

Task 3: Watershed Modeling

As a part of the process of determining the assimilative capacity for the rivers, the Contractor shall develop watershed models for each river. Watershed models will be developed for the Chattahoochee River Basin from Buford Dam to Lake Seminole. The watershed models will be designed to perform a continuous simulation for flow and water quality for the period 1997 through 2007.

Watershed models will be developed using either Hydrologic Simulation Program Fortran (HSPF) or the Loading Simulation Program in C++ (LSPC). The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Regions 3 and 4 developed LSPC for preparing TMDLs. It utilizes the hydrologic core program of HSPF with a custom interface of the Mining Data Analysis System (MDAS) and modifications for non-mining applications such as nutrient and pathogen modeling.

Each watershed model will be divided into modeling sub-basins based on hydrologic criteria to be represented as a series of hydraulically connected sub-watersheds in which the watershed model will calculate surface water runoff and the advective transport of constituents using historic precipitation data. Because of the water temperature issues in the Upper Chattahoochee Watershed (Buford Dam to Franklin, GA), this watershed model will also include water temperature modeling.

The following data and other modeling requirements will be required to perform the continuous watershed model simulations:

- **Meteorological Data:** Hourly meteorological data from weather stations within, or in close proximity to, the sub-watershed will be used in the watershed model. Precipitation data for the watershed will be gathered from several sources and the watershed will be subdivided into Thiessen polygons with precipitation stations as centers, in order to select the station for the watershed. The potential evapotranspiration will be calculated from the maximum and minimum daily temperatures obtained from either NCDC or GAEMN stations. The Hamon PET method will be used to calculate hourly potential evapotranspiration using air temperature, a monthly variable coefficient, the number of hours of sunshine (based on latitude), and absolute humidity (computed from air temperature).

- ***Land Use/Land Cover: The watershed model uses land cover data as the basis for representing hydrology and nonpoint source loading. The Contractor shall obtain, from EPD or other sources if more recent data is available, the most current digital map coverages for land use/land cover for the watersheds to be modeled. In addition, forecasted future land use coverages will be provided to the Contractor to use for future planning. Land cover categories for modeling will include open water, urban, barren or mining, cropland, pasture, forest, grassland, and wetlands. Coverages of imperviousness will also be utilized to***

develop the typical imperviousness percentages for each land use category. The percent imperviousness of a given land category will be calculated as an area-weighted average of land use classes encompassing the modeling land category.

- *Soils Data: Soils data for the watershed will be obtained from the State Soil Geographic Database (STATSGO). There are four main hydrologic soil groups. The different soil groups range from soils that have a low runoff potential to soils that have a high runoff potential. The total area that each hydrologic soil group covers within each sub-watershed will be determined. The hydrologic soil group that has the highest percent of coverage within each sub-watershed will be used to represent the sub-watershed.*
- **Digital Elevation Model:** Digital elevation model (DEM) data will be obtained for the watersheds modeled and shall have a 10-meter grid resolution. These data will be used to determine the channel and watershed slopes for use in the watershed model.
- *Point Source Discharge Data: The watershed model should be designed to include point source discharge data.*

The watershed models will include all point sources of nutrients and organic material. Georgia EPD will prepare the Georgia DOSAG models that will be used to determine wasteload allocations (WLAs). These models will be incorporated into the watershed models. This may be represented as a single load representing one or more discharges to the watershed.

The watershed model will be calibrated to daily flows and discrete instream water quality data measured by Georgia EPD, USGS, local municipalities, counties, George Power, and the Corps of Engineers, if available. The watershed models will simulate the rainfall runoff process for both flow and water quality and the results of these models will be used as tributary inputs to the lake and or river models.

Task 4: River Modeling

The Contractor shall develop one-dimensional hydrodynamic and water quality models for the Chattahoochee River from Rock Shoals Dam to Oswichee Creek (approximately 19 miles), and from Walter F. George dam to Bryans Creek (approximately 46 miles). River modeling will be done using Georgia EPD's EPD RIV-1. Model development and calibration shall be done using a period between 1997 and 2007 that has the most complete available data for model input and calibration. The period should span a minimum of two years.

Requirements of the river modeling also include:

- *River Cross Sections: The EPD RIV-1 hydrodynamic model requires river channel cross sections as input for the open channel hydraulics calculations. The Contractor shall obtain available measured cross sections for the modeled river segments and incorporate them into the model geometry. Where cross section data are not available, cross sections may be developed using other means to be approved by Georgia EPD.*
- *Watershed Inflows: River model input data for watershed contributions of flow and water quality will be obtained from the watershed model results.*
- *Meteorological Data: Hourly meteorological data from one or more monitoring stations in the vicinity of the river will be used as model input.*
- USGS Streamflow Data: USGS streamflow data will be used where appropriate for boundary flow input.
- *Water Quality Data: Available water quality data collected at the boundary will be used as model input.*
- *Facility Monitoring Data: Daily facility operating data for both wastewater discharges and water withdrawals will be used in the model for the period modeled.*

The river model will be calibrated with available USGS streamflow data and water quality data collected at locations within the model reach and during the modeling period.

Task 5: Lake Modeling

The Contractor shall develop lake models for West Point and Walter F. George in the Chattahoochee River Basin and Lake Seminole, which straddles portions of both the Chattahoochee and Flint River Basins, and shall consist of linked hydrodynamic and water quality models. Both the hydrodynamic and water quality models will be three-dimensional. The lakes will be modeled in three-dimensions, which will allow Georgia EPD to calibrate the models to site-specific data and to determine the effect of direct discharges into the lake without assuming laterally average segments.

The Environmental Fluid Dynamics Code (EFDC) will be used to simulate the internal flows and water temperature of the lake models. The Water Quality Analysis Simulation Program (WASP) will be used to simulate the fate and transport of water quality

constituents within the lake. Model development and calibration will be done for a period within 1997 through 2007 that has the most complete data set, and should span a minimum of two years.

Lake Hydrodynamic Modeling

EFDC is a general-purpose hydrodynamic model capable of simulating one, two, and three-dimensional flow in surface water systems including rivers and lakes. The Contractor shall develop an EFDC model for each lake, which will include:

- A three-dimensional model grid having an appropriate resolution based on lake shoreline and bathymetric data.
- Boundary inflows provided by results from the HSPF or LSPC watershed model
- Hourly meteorological data including barometric pressure, air temperature, relative humidity, dew point, rainfall, evaporation, wind speed, solar radiation, and cloud cover
- Water temperature modeling

Estimated bottom elevations and shoreline boundaries define the EFDC model grid. Bathymetric assumptions will be derived from available cross-sections from lake bathymetry. In addition, any previously developed models for the lakes will be examined to insure consistency.

EFDC requires boundary conditions to simulate circulation and transportation. These conditions include the water elevations at the downstream boundary, watershed inflows, and meteorological data. The upstream boundaries will be the tributary flows and water quality results from the watershed models. The lake levels recorded at the lake dam will be used to define the water surface elevation at the downstream boundary.

The meteorological data that will be used include barometric pressure, air temperature, relative humidity, dew point, rainfall evaporations, wind speed, solar radiation, and cloud cover. These data are measured at the NCDC or GAEMN stations.

Water temperature will be simulated in EFDC using solar radiation, atmospheric temperature, heat transfer at the water surface, and the temperature of the hydraulic inputs.

Lake Water Quality Modeling

WASP is a dynamic compartmental model designed for aquatic systems that models the time varying processes of advection, dispersion, point and diffuse mass loading, and boundary exchange and can be structured in one, two, or three dimensions. WASP contains a series of independent kinetic process routines that can be employed. WASP will be used with its eutrophication module (EUTRO) which models conventional water

quality constituents and algal kinetics. The water quality constituents and nutrient and algal kinetics in EUTRO are as follows:

- Organic nitrogen
- Ammonia
- Nitrate-nitrite
- Organic phosphorus
- Orthophosphate
- Chlorophyll *a*
- Dissolved oxygen
- Biochemical oxygen demand (BOD)

WASP is not a hydrodynamic model. The model uses the EFDC model results contained in the hydrodynamic linkage file, to provide the transport parameters required by the WASP water quality model. Therefore, the WASP model segmentation shall be compatible with the EFDC grid structure.

The WASP model simulates sediment oxygen demand, reaeration, full nutrient dynamics, and algal kinetics. Boundary inflow and constituent concentrations of BOD, total nitrogen, and total phosphorus will be imported from the calibrated HSPF or LSPC models. Since the watershed models only predict total nitrogen and phosphorus loadings, these lumped constituents must be partitioned into their component parts including organic phosphorus, ortho-phosphate, organic nitrogen, ammonia, and nitrate-nitrite for use as input to the lake water quality model. The nitrogen and phosphorus loads will be fractionated based on the results of measured water quality data.

If there are direct discharges to the lakes, daily discharge flows, 5-day BOD, ammonia, total phosphorus, and dissolved oxygen concentrations for the NPDES permitted discharges will be obtained from Operating Monitoring Reports (OMRs) and will be input into the model. If the lake has direct water withdrawals, daily water withdrawal data will also be input into the model.

The model lake water quality model will be calibrated with existing water quality data including chlorophyll *a*, nitrogen components, phosphorus components, dissolved oxygen profiles, and water temperature profiles.

Task 6: Current Assimilative Capacity Modeling

The current demand models will be used to assess the current loads. The Contractor shall develop the models for critical conditions in accordance with Georgia EPD standard practices. The critical conditions models will be run with the NPDES point sources at their full permit loads. The Contractor shall train Georgia EPD staff in the use and operation of the models. The calibrated and critical conditions models shall then be submitted to Georgia EPD for review. Georgia EPD will make these models available for technical review by outside parties. The technical review will be performed to validate that the models are appropriate and properly calibrated based on the available data.

Task 7: Current Assimilative Capacity Modeling Report

The Contractor shall prepare a draft modeling report that outlines the model structure (including water withdrawals, thermal discharges, and wastewater discharges), model input, modeling parameters, and calibration results. The report should include a sensitivity analysis of the various modeling parameters. Finally, the report should include the results of the critical conditions model and indicate streams that have available assimilative capacity and those that do not. The draft report will be submitted to EPD for review. After receiving comments from EPD and possibly others, the Contractor will incorporate all comments received and submit a final report.

Task 8: Accounting and Reporting

The EPD will provide appropriate progress reports to satisfy grant conditions and ARRA reporting requirements. In addition the state will follow all EPA and ARRA accounting guidelines by ensuring that these funds are clearly distinguishable from non-ARRA funds in agency financial systems, grant and contract writing systems, and reporting systems. In this way the state will insure the transparency, accounting, and reporting requirements of ARRA are met.

Schedule of Task Completion Dates, Costs and Deliverables

The deliverables and costs for the tasks outlined above are given in the table below:

| Task | Description | Deliverables | Date | Cost |
|-------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|
| 1 | Data Compilation and Management | | | \$ 17,325.00 |
| | | FTP Site for Data Exchange | July-09 | |
| | | Meteorological Data Processed through 2007 | October-09 | |
| | | NPDES Data Processed through 2007 | October-09 | |
| | | Water Withdrawal Data Process through 2007 | October-09 | |
| | | EFDC Grids (West Point, Walter F George, Blackshear, Seminole) | January-10 | |
| 2 | Chattahoochee River Watershed Modeling | | | \$122,000.00 |
| | | Chattahoochee River Watershed Model - Calibrated for Hydrology | January-10 | |
| | | LSPC Hydrology Modeling Report for Chattahoochee River Basin | June-10 | |
| | | Chattahoochee River Watershed Model - Calibrated for Water Quality | March-10 | |
| | | LSPC Water Quality Modeling Report for Chattahoochee River Basin | June-10 | |
| | | Future Chattahoochee River Watershed Modeling | December-10 | |
| 3 | West Point Lake Modeling | | | \$160,000.00 |
| | | West Point Lake EFDC Model - Calibrated for Hydrodynamics | March-10 | |
| | | EFDC Hydrodynamic Modeling Report for West Point Lake | November-10 | |
| | | West Point Lake WASP Model - Calibrated for Water Quality | August-10 | |
| | | WASP Water Quality Modeling Report for West Point Lake | November-10 | |
| 4 | Lake Walter F. George Modeling | | | \$106,675.00 |
| | | Lake Walter F. George EFDC Model - Calibrated for Hydrodynamics | March-10 | |
| | | EFDC Hydrodynamic Modeling Report for Lake Walter F. George | November-10 | |
| | | Lake Walter F. George WASP Model - Calibrated for Water Quality | August-10 | |
| | | WASP Water Quality Modeling Report for Lake Walter F. George | November-10 | |

| | | | |
|---|---|-------------|--------------|
| 5 | Lake Seminole Modeling | | \$117,150.00 |
| | Lake Seminole EFDC Model - Calibrated for Hydrodynamics | March-10 | |
| | EFDC Hydrodynamic Modeling Report for Lake Seminole | November-10 | |
| | Lake Seminole WASP Model - Calibrated for Water Quality | August-10 | |
| | WASP Water Quality Modeling Report for Lake Seminole | November-10 | |
| 6 | Chattahoochee River RIV1D Modeling | | \$146,450.00 |
| | Chattahoochee River RIV-1 | March-10 | |
| | RIV-1 Hydrodynamic Modeling Report for Chattahoochee River | November-10 | |
| | Chattahoochee River RIV-1 - Water Quality | August-10 | |
| | RIV-1 Water Quality Modeling Report for Chattahoochee River | November-10 | |
| | | Total | \$669,600.00 |

Budget Summary: Section 604(b) ARRA 2009 Grant Funds

| | | |
|-----|---|------------------|
| (1) | Contract | \$669,600 |
| | Total Section 604(b) ARRA 2009 Grant Funds | \$669,600 |

Georgia School and Transit Bus Retrofit Expansion

The State of Georgia's Environmental Protection Division (EPD) will receive \$1,730,000 from the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) 2009 State Clean Diesel Grant Program to expand the Georgia School and Transit Bus Retrofit Program. Past activities for the school bus retrofit projects include the early implementation of ultra low sulfur diesel fuel, the use of biodiesel, and the installation and use of emission control devices. To date, 1,084 school buses have been retrofitted with an array of emission control devices including diesel oxidation catalysts, partial flow through filters, diesel particulate filters, and crank case filters. A majority of the previous retrofits have been installed on school buses in existing nonattainment counties.

The planned focus of activities for the State Clean Diesel Grant Program will be the retrofitting of school and transit buses in Georgia. EPD will open the definition of retrofit to include not only the installation of emission control devices but also repowering and replacing school and transit buses and rebuilding school and transit bus engines. EPD will implement projects that are determined to be cost effective. Between 181 and 240 buses are planned for retrofit under this program.

The majority of the DERA funding will be used to pay for retrofitting school and transit buses. However, fifteen percent or less will be used for the administrative costs of overseeing this program. Any emission control devices, engines, or vehicles purchased and/or installed as a part of this project will be EPA or CARB verified and/or certified. The school and transit systems will have the opportunity to competitively bid on this project. The State has already received positive feed back from school systems and the Department of Education, and they are willing to partner with EPD.

Contact: William Cook or Stacy Allman
Georgia Environmental Protection Division
4244 International Pkwy., Suite 134
Atlanta, GA 30354
Phone: 404-363-7028
E-mail: William.cook@dnr.state.ga.us
Stacy.allman@dnr.state.ga.us

Georgia Truck Stop Electrification (TSE) and Green Corridors

EPD is requesting \$748,000 in Federal funds from the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act Funding for The National Clean Diesel Funding Assistance Program to electrify parking spaces for trucks at truck stops. The cost of electrifying a spot is estimated at \$11,000. The grant will pay for electrifying 68 parking spots while the vendor or the truck stop owners will pay for 17 parking spots. The 17 parking spaces paid for by the vendor or the truck stop will cost \$187,000 bringing the total project expenditures to \$935,000. This project is being proposed as a part of a strategic plan to reduce major pollutants from diesel exhaust caused by idling heavy-duty long haul trucks along interstates. The pollutants that are reduced include nitrogen oxide (NO_x) and fine particulate emissions (PM_{2.5}). Hydrocarbon (HC), carbon monoxide (CO), carbon dioxide (CO₂) and toxic emissions from diesel engines will also be reduced.

Long haul truck drivers idle their primary diesel engine to cool and heat their cabs. Idling of a large primary diesel engine to maintain comfort inside the cab is inefficient. These truck engines are designed to haul a tractor-trailer with full loads. Improving efficiency through electrification will reduce fuel consumption and air pollution. This project will offer truck stop electrification (TSE) technology as an alternative to idling large diesel engines. TSE technology uses electric power from a utility grid rather than operating a diesel powered internal combustion engine to provide creature comforts. While TSE technology offers economic benefits, private companies hesitate to make the capital investment consequently delaying the implementation of the technology. It is currently one of the most efficient methods for providing cab climate control and electrical power. The ARRA funds will allow the TSE technology to further penetrate the market providing a better chance for it to succeed. In addition, TSE technology moves ground level emissions to power plant stacks further away, which EPD has shown reduces the ambient impact based on sensitivity studies.

EPD will select approximately 3 truck stops for this project that will assist in providing viable green corridors in the Southeast. Green corridors are routes where truck drivers can easily find and access electrified parking spaces and refuel with clean sustainable fuels such as biodiesel. As previously noted, this project is focusing solely on electrifying parking spaces. Having borders with Florida, Alabama, North Carolina, South Carolina and Tennessee makes Georgia a critical state for green corridors to work in the Southeast. The truck stops that are selected for funding in this project are intended to be part of a strategic electrified parking space network with reasonable travel distance from current or planned electrified parking sites. Successful green

corridors will need to provide truck drivers with confidence that TSE technology will be available along their routes.

Contact: William Cook or Richard McDonald
Georgia Environmental Protection Division
4244 International Pkwy., Suite 134
Atlanta, GA 30354
Phone: 404-363-7028
E-mail: William.cook@dnr.state.ga.us
Richard.McDonald@dnr.state.ga.us

Leaking Underground Storage Tank

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (hereinafter "Recovery Act") provides a supplemental appropriation of \$200 million from the Leaking Underground Storage Tank (LUST) Trust Fund (Recovery Act funding) administered by the federal EPA for leaking underground storage tank cleanup activities. The EPA used its existing LUST Trust Fund allocation formula to divide the balance (\$190.7 million) of the \$200 million, after reduction for administration and tribal issues, among the 54 states and territories receiving LUST Recovery money. The State of Georgia will receive \$4.97 million from this LUST Recovery Act Assistance program, which will be administered by the Environmental Protection Division (EPD).

The Recovery Act funds may be used to directly pay for assessing and cleaning up leaks from federally regulated tanks where the responsible party is unknown, unwilling, unable, or the cleanup is an emergency response. The LUST Recovery Act funds for cleaning up underground storage tank leaks are intended to stimulate jobs such as those necessary to perform site assessments and cleanup activities.

There are four principal differences in the use of LUST Recovery Act funds from usual LUST funding for petroleum clean ups:

- LUST Recovery Act funded assistance agreements are exempt from the 10 percent state cost share required by Solid Waste Disposal Act, 9003(h)(7)(B).
- Unlike assistance agreements funded with the Agency's annual "no year" LUST grants, Recovery Act funding must be obligated and expended by the states within specified and limited periods of time.
- There are unique reporting and funds tracking requirements for Recovery Act funded assistance agreements.
- Potential applicability of Infrastructure, Public works and Davis-Bacon act requirements

To make the tracking requirements easier and more transparent, EPD will enter Recovery Act assistance agreements through a new and separate award, and will maintain them separately from the usual LUST cooperative agreements.

Eligible Activities

The Recovery Act neither expands nor limits eligible uses of LUST funds under Solid Waste Disposal Act, §9003(h)(7) assistance agreements, with the exception of prohibiting Recovery Act funds from uses relating to casinos and other gambling establishments, aquariums, zoos, golf courses, or swimming pools.

The LUST Recovery Act assistance agreements will be used for corrective action activities traditionally funded by LUST cleanup dollars, and EPD will principally use the funds in situations where the responsible party is either unknown or unable to perform the clean up.

Funding Priorities

These funds will be used to achieve the EPD-UST program's goal of LUST cleanups, while at the same time, maximizing job creation/retention, and providing economic and environmental benefits to the citizens of the State of Georgia. These assistance agreements will fund assessment and clean up activities at shovel-ready projects (sites identified as ready for assessment and cleanup) that are typically orphaned or abandoned sites, where the owners/operators of the sites are unknown or unable to pay.

Approximately 100 sites in Georgia have been identified for funding under this program and are shovel-ready. Agreements to obtain access have been obtained for approximately 20 of these sites to date and more access agreements are expected to be obtained for the other sites, prior to distribution of the Recovery Act funds to the EPD. To date, there has been no funding received by EPD for this program. The funds are expected to address several highly contaminated abandoned or orphan sites that have awaited federal funding or for which the responsible party is unable to conduct the clean up and will assist EPD in obtaining information to assess the risk posed by many sites for which current data exists. The funds will be used on those sites that are ranked to be the greatest environmental threats to human health and the environment, taking into account the number of new jobs that will be created or existing jobs retained by assessing or cleaning up those sites. At this point in time, insufficient information exists to be able to determine whether the current level of Recovery Act funding will be sufficient to assess and clean up all of the identified current eligible sites, although it appears to be highly unlikely.

No funds have been budgeted by the EPD in its Recovery Act application for staff management and oversight. All such funding will come from existing and separate LUST grants in order to avoid any commingling of LUST and Recovery funds. All funds will be allocated to using State Contractors that are already in place for corrective action and oversight activities. However, depending on the final grant conditions and work plan requirements negotiated with EPA, the current contracts with those contractors may have to be modified and amended to accommodate those grant conditions and work plans, once published by EPA.

Georgia's LUST Recovery Act Assistance Program Contact:

Richard W. Swanson
UST Program Manager
Suite 104; 4244International Parkway
Atlanta, GA 30354

Telephone 404.362.258

Background Information

1. Agency program area Impacted:
Environmental Protection Division/Land Protection Branch/Underground Storage Tank Contractor Cleanup Program
2. Federal program funding source name and contact information:
(If possible, provide the CFDA¹ name and number)
CFDA # 66-805
Leaking Underground Storage Tank (LUST) Trust Fund Program Development and Implementation
3. Bill version and date:
Conference Report on H.R.1 American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009;
February 12, 2009; House Congressional Record Page H1307
4. Funding amount for this fund source area: **SFY 2009 / SFY 2010 / SFY 2011**
(Total Funds for GA)
\$4,970,000 - SFY09
5. State funds currently associated with the federal fund source (FY 2009)
Traditional 10% state match waived for this particular fund source.
6. Funding Guidelines/Restrictions:

The site must be “shovel ready” and meet the four LUST Trust Fund categories. Responsible Party is unable (no cost recovery); and/or unknown (no cost recovery); or unwilling (cost recovery); or emergency cleanup. The traditional 90%/10% match is waived. There is no match for funds provided for Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund Program in this Act.
7. Does this funding flow through an existing process or formula? Provide details
 - a. Formula – Yes – LUST Allocation formula set by EPA.
 - b. Block Grant to other State Agency – please list the agencies - None
 - c. Block Grants that will be distributed to local entities - None
 - d. Funding will be distributed to states through a competitive process - No

¹ CFDA Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance <http://www.cfda.gov/>

8. Does the new funding include funds for administration? If so, provide details on the funding and restrictions on utilization.

No limitation on recipient administration funding in Act; although EPA has indicated that there may be some such limitations from either OMB or EPA grants office in the grants documents, once finalized.

9. Describe the fund distribution decision process in detail:

We assign LUST Funds to “shovel ready” sites in order of environmental risk and other environmental considerations in accordance with the list of “LUST Pending Assignment 2/2009”, attached hereto.

10. Primary Recipient of the new Funding: (State Agency, Local government, individuals)
State Agency – EPD for distribution to the state UST Cleanup contractors for work performed.

Feasibility: ARRA Program/Fund Source Questions

1. What is the timeframe required by ARRA to initiate projects/grants etc for this program area?

Example: Contracts must be in signed 120 days after bill becomes law. If competitive grants must be let or applied for, please document those.

The Act requires that all funds appropriated shall be available for obligation until September 30, 2010.

2. Can enough projects/grants etc in this program area be started within the time-frame stated in the ARRA bill to utilize all of the available funding?

Yes – See attached list.

3. What is the time-frame for spending all of the funds or completing the projects in this bill?

48 months on average for completion of site cleanup.

4. Will all of the projects/grants etc in this program area meet the spending / end-date requirements of the bill?

a. Yes – All funds will be obligated 2 years after the date of enactment of ARRA or not later than 21 months after the grant is awarded.

5. If the funds require the state to apply for the funding through a competitive process, describe your agencies plan to compete for the funding?

LUST Funding is not a competitive process.

6. Provide a brief description of the program area and how the new funding will impact the core activities
(Examples: Dissemination of mini-grants, build or buy, implementation of new program or aid category, training and technical assistance, Formula Grants to Locals, Direct funding for infrastructure, Loans etc)
Direct funding for leaking UST's thru the use of 5 state cleanup contractors.
7. If the funding is expanding a current grant program, please provide details on the current fund utilization and the plan for using the additional ARRA funds.
This additional funding is consistent with earlier LUST grants, but on a much larger scale allowing funding for numerous cleanup projects that otherwise would be waiting on adequate federal funding since these projects are generally orphaned sites.
8. Is the spending plan for this new federal program funding consistent with existing state priorities and plans? Explain why or why not, and provide details on how the action plan integrates with state priorities.
The spending plan for this new federal program will be consistent with the state's strategic goals of a safe Georgia, a healthy Georgia, and the best managed state in the U.S.

Accountability: Program Area Accountability Measures

NOTE: If the federal agency disbursing the funds has specific monitoring and accountability requirements for the ARRA funding, contact OPB.

1. **Population to be Served** (Include demographic, income level, age, quantity of people impacted):
Georgia - Statewide
2. **Short term Performance Indicator** (What measurable outcome will be accomplished within 6 - 12 months?):
Decrease in the inventory of abandoned/orphaned LUST sites.
3. **Long-term Performance Indicator** (What measurable outcome will be accomplished upon completion of activity?):
Number of acres rendered usable and cleaned up to environmentally safe standards.
4. What will the impact of this funding be on your program's budget in:
(a) 3 years: - Additional \$1.7 Million annually for the next 3 years.
(b) 5 years: - No impact as this money will have been spent or fully obligated in 5 years.

This will allow the program to budget for the clean up of abandoned/orphaned sites, which currently have no funding source and are currently cleaned up on an emergency basis.

Does bill have specific administrative or other requirements, if so how will your agency address or meet those requirements? (Staffing, Monitoring etc)

Yes, as enumerated in ARRA at Title XVI, General Provisions, page H1357 of the Congressional Record. This may also require additional staffing for contract administration and project management. We anticipate that EPA will prescribe thru grant conditions appropriate numbers of administrative and project staff.

| Facility Id | Leak ID | County | Location Name | Further Corrective Action | Cost |
|-------------|---------|----------|--|---------------------------|---------------|
| 9000061 | 1 | RICHMOND | MAJIK MARKET #37010 | SISR/CAP-B REMEDIATION | \$ 500,000.00 |
| 10000760 | 1 | FAYETTE | GEORGIA FARM AND RANCH SUPPLY | CAP-B REMEDIATION | \$ 300,000.00 |
| 9000166 | | Glynn | Bellsouth manhole vapors | CAP-A | \$ 75,000.00 |
| 9025003 | | Chatham | Former Quacco Bait and Tackle | CAP-A Adden. | \$ 150,000.00 |
| 3039000 | 1 | CRAWFORD | HORNE'S GROCERY | CAP-A Amend | \$ 200,000.00 |
| 10000343 | 1 | LAURENS | Fmr Cook Mercantile | CAP-B REMEDIATION | \$ 100,000.00 |
| 0570172 | 1 | Floyd | Frank Groves Service Ctr | Revised CAP-B | \$ 150,000.00 |
| 1410054 | 1 | TROUP | SHELNUT'S GROCERY & GAS | SISR | \$ 150,000.00 |
| 0250534 | 1 | CHATHAM | PARKERS #13/pump & pantry #16 | SISR/CAP-B | \$ 250,000.00 |
| 0740016 | 1 | HEARD | OLON OWENBY SVC STA | CAP-A | \$ 150,000.00 |
| 9107090 | 1 | NEWTON | CHESTER GAITHER | CAP-A | \$ 20,000.00 |
| 0660035 | 1 | GREENE | PRECISION SHAVING | SISR | \$ 150,000.00 |
| 0890059 | 1 | LIBERTY | KWIK #2/Denmark Bulk Oil | SISR/CAP-B | \$ 70,000.00 |
| 9147029 | 1 | WALTON | KILGORES RED & WHITE GROCERY | CAP-A | \$ 150,000.00 |
| 9000211 | 1 | DEKALB | MAJIK MARKET #04059 | SISR | \$ 50,000.00 |
| 4440281 | 1 | DEKALB | CONTAMINATION FOUND AT VANDY'S LAUNDRY INC | SICAR | \$ 50,000.00 |
| 9033522 | | COBB | CASH'S SERVICE STATION | gw samples | \$ 5,000.00 |
| 0300001 | 1 | Clay | B.C. Brown's Service Station | gw samples | \$ 5,000.00 |
| 0340096 | 1 | COFFEE | NORTHSIDE TEXACO (FORMER) | Complete Closure | \$ 5,000.00 |
| 0590074 | 1 | FRANKLIN | SOUTHEAST SCHOKBETON | AMENDED CLOSURE | \$ 5,000.00 |
| 4440512 | 1 | Dekalb | Former Larry's Service Station | SISR | \$ 200,000.00 |
| 0600724 | 1 | FULTON | AMERICAN WAREHOUSE & MOVING INC | CAP-A | \$ 10,000.00 |
| 0600984 | 1 | FULTON | LANGFORD UNION 76 STATION | CAP-A/B MO | \$ 50,000.00 |
| 9014023 | 1 | BROOKS | NO NAME/ Winnifred Scruggs | CAP-A | \$ 20,000.00 |
| 1070058 | 1 | NEWTON | GAITHER STATION | CAP-A DELINEATION | \$ 50,000.00 |
| 9033028 | 1,2 | Cobb | Allen's Service and Tire Center | CAP-A | \$ 50,000.00 |
| 9036036 | 1 | Columbia | Former West Augusta Floor Service | CAP-A | \$ 10,000.00 |
| 90000136 | 1 | Bibb | MAJIK MARKET #21029 | SISR/CAP-B | \$ 150,000.00 |
| 9121184 | 1 | RICHMOND | HABITAT FOR HUMANITY SITE | CAP-A | \$ 20,000.00 |
| 9035015 | 1 | COLQUITT | HANCOCK'S WESTERN WEAR/ABANDONED | CAP-A | \$ 20,000.00 |

| | | | | | |
|----------|---------|-----------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| 9053001 | 1 | EMANUEL | * | CAP-A | \$ 20,000.00 |
| 9016021 | 1 | BULLOCH | MIDDLE GROUND STORE | CAP-B REMEDIATION | \$ 150,000.00 |
| 4220078 | 1 | Carroll | LJS Grease & Tallow Company | CAP-A | \$ 100,000.00 |
| 0510008 | 1 | EFFINGHAM | THE COUNTRY STORE | SISR | \$ 50,000.00 |
| 9058001 | 1 | FORSYTH | CUMMING BP GULF | CAP-A | \$ 20,000.00 |
| 9059018 | 1 | FRANKLIN | HERMAN MOORES GROCERY/ACREE OIL | CAP-A | \$ 50,000.00 |
| 9067152 | 1 | DEKALB | MECO ATLANTA | CAP-A | \$ 50,000.00 |
| 9071033 | 1 | HARALSON | BRIDGES SHELL | CAP-A | \$ 75,000.00 |
| 9076130 | 1 | HOUSTON | MIDDLE GA QUICK FOOD MART | Cap-A/CAP-B | \$ 150,000.00 |
| 9092039 | CLOSURE | LOWNDES | SANGLINS UNION 76 | Needs soil & GW samples | \$ 10,000.00 |
| 9106179 | 1 | Muscogee | Colonial Bus Lines | CAP-A | \$ 10,000.00 |
| 9117029 | 1 | PUTNAM | MIKE WHITE ESTATE MIKES PLACE | CAP-B/Remed. | \$ 400,000.00 |
| 9127004 | 1 | STEPHENS | TOCCOA EXXON | CAP-A/CAP-B | \$ 250,000.00 |
| 0670237 | 1 | GWINNETT | KWIK PIK | CAP-B AND REMEDIATION | \$ 200,000.00 |
| 0670280 | 1 | GWINNETT | BOLTON UNION #76 | CAP-B AND MONITORING | \$ 75,000.00 |
| 0850011 | 1 | LAMAR | SPRINT FOODS INC | CAP-B AND MONITORING | \$ 75,000.00 |
| 1130001 | 1 | Pierce | Whitaker Chevron | CAP-A | \$ 75,000.00 |
| 4220056 | 1 | CARROLL | PITTS GROCERY | GW samples | \$ 5,000.00 |
| 4250048 | 1 | CHATHAM | PATTERSON SERVICE CENTER | Cap-A | \$ 75,000.00 |
| 4440558 | 1 | DEKALB | CLARKSTON AUTO SERVICE | CAP-A | \$ 75,000.00 |
| 9000513 | 1 | GWINNETT | NABORS USED CARS | CAP-A | \$ 150,000.00 |
| 9015021 | 1 | BRYAN | BREEZE WAY SERVICE | CAP-A | \$ 75,000.00 |
| 9032006 | 1 | CLINCH | HOMERVILLE AUTO & TIRE | CAP-A | \$ 100,000.00 |
| 10000923 | 1 | DEKALB | BOULEVARD PACKAGE STORE | CAP-A & B | \$ 150,000.00 |
| 10000992 | 1 | JACKSON | OLD GAS STATION | CAP-A & B | \$ 200,000.00 |
| 0890065 | 1 | LIBERTY | White's Gulf | CAP-A | \$ 75,000.00 |
| 1430010 | 1 | Twiggs | Bob's Tire Service | CAP-A | \$ 75,000.00 |
| 9016044 | 1 | Bulloch | Former Instant Mart | CAP-A | \$ 100,000.00 |
| 9018023 | | Butts | FORMER SERVICE STATION | SICAR AND DW WELL | \$ 100,000.00 |
| 9029119 | 1 | Clarke | Hayes Trucking Company | GW smples | \$ 20,000.00 |
| 9033043 | 1 | COBB | MACS TIRE SERVICE | Need CAP-A&B | \$ 300,000.00 |
| 9057117 | 1 | Floyd | Lowe Oldsmobile/Cadillac/Jeep/Eagle | GW Samples | \$ 10,000.00 |
| 9060323 | | Fulton | Southern Railway Maintenance Facility | CAP-B REMEDIATION | \$ 400,000.00 |

| | | | | | |
|--|---|----------|--|------------------------------|------------------------|
| 9063049 | 1 | Glynn | Former Amoco Station | CAP-A | \$ 15,000.00 |
| 0600749 | 1 | FULTON | BANKHEAD CHEVRON | CAP A & B | \$ 20,000.00 |
| 0890068 | 1 | LIBERTY | Kwik Way #2 | CAP-A&B | \$ 55,000.00 |
| 9011078 | 1 | BIBB | UNKNOWN FACILITY | MONITORING OF SITE - CAP-B ? | \$ 25,000.00 |
| 9036065 | 1 | Columbia | Unknown | CAP-A | \$ 20,000.00 |
| 9060817 | 1 | FULTON | BUCKHEAD COMMONS SHOPPING CTR | CAP-A | \$ 20,000.00 |
| 9097003 | 1 | MCDUFFIE | BURNLEY'S OIL INC GAS STATION/JO | CAP-A&B | \$ 55,000.00 |
| 9097011 | 1 | MCDUFFIE | BURNLEY'S OIL INC BULK PLANT/HUN | CAP-A&B | \$ 55,000.00 |
| 9121230 | 1 | RICHMOND | Sandy's 4 x 4 | CAP-A | \$ 20,000.00 |
| 9151043 | 1 | WAYNE | HOKES TRUCK STOP | CAP-A & B | \$ 100,000.00 |
| 9151050 | 1 | WAYNE | HOKES TRUCK STOP | CAP-A | \$ 20,000.00 |
| 9133003 | 1 | TAYLOR | DAN WILLIAMS GROCERY | CAP-A AND DELINEATION | \$ 20,000.00 |
| 9145016 | 1 | UPSON | NEHI BOTTLING COMPANY | CAP-A AND DELINEATION | \$ 20,000.00 |
| 10000420 | 1 | BARTOW | FORMER SERVICE STATION | CAP-B REMEDIATION | \$ 200,000.00 |
| 10000717 | 1 | FULTON | FORMER 3750 CROWN ROAD FACILITY | CAP-B REMEDIATION | \$ 200,000.00 |
| 10000775 | 1 | GREENE | SUPER HAIR STYLES/TALK OF THE TOWN (DOT) | CAP-B REMEDIATION | \$ 300,000.00 |
| 10000777 | 1 | LIBERTY | REPAIRS UNLIMITED (DOT PARCEL41) | CAP-B REMEDIATION | \$ 500,000.00 |
| 10000804 | 1 | CARROLL | CLOSED STORE (DOT PARCEL #5) | CAP-A AND DELINEATION | \$ 20,000.00 |
| Total of unassigned LUST Sites - 81 | | | | | \$ 8,450,000.00 |

ALREADY ASSIGNED LUST SITES

| | | | | | |
|----------|--|------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------|
| 9000162 | | FULTON | PEACHTREE 400 | | \$ 172,578.00 |
| 1460126 | | lafayette | Villanow General Store | | \$ 500,000.00 |
| 4240013 | | | Southwell Tire | | \$ 120,000.00 |
| 10000443 | | | R.F. Strickland | | \$ 225,000.00 |
| 9000308 | | muscogee | Sing Oil Station | | \$ 400,000.00 |
| 410030 | | dade | Avaco | | \$ 202,200.00 |
| 9027011 | | chattooga | Quick's Cash N Carry | | \$ 100,000.00 |
| 9000145 | | columbia | Former Majik Market #42910 | | \$ 450,000.00 |
| 9141039 | | Troup | Former Hornsby's Auto Service | | \$ 250,000.00 |
| 9084001 | | jones | maddox | | \$ 750,000.00 |
| 10001477 | | Montgomery | Creative Hair Styles | | \$ 133,900.00 |

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| Total of needed to finish assigned LUST Sites - 11 | \$ 3,303,678.00 |
|--|-----------------|

| | |
|------------------|------------------|
| GRAND TOTAL - 92 | \$ 11,753,678.00 |
|------------------|------------------|

